

United States Patent and Trademark Office

 \mathcal{A}

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,281	01/03/2002	Rohit Kumar Khanna	4075		
75	90 10/28/2003	EXAMINER			
Rohit K. Khanna			BONDERER, DAVID A		
Suite 460			<u> </u>		
311 North Clyd	e Morres Blvd.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Daytona Beach,	FL 32114	3732	/ ()		
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/2003	l	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/035,28	1	KHANNA, ROHIT KUMAR					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		D. Austin E		3732					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 /	August 2003							
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is	non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
•	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>40-51</u> is/are pending in the application.								
5 _	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>53-59</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>40-52</u> is/are rejected.								
•									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) 🗌 /	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachmer	· ·	•							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	_ .		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT					

Application/Control Number: 10/035,281

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 40-59 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

It is also noted per a conversation with R. Khanna on 10-15-03 that claims 53-59 are also withdrawn without traverse.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 40-45, 48, 49 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 40 recites the limitation "the curvature" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 5. Claim 41 recites "comprising of curvature" and it appears to be a double inclusion or the curvature in claim 40.
- 6. Claims 40, 42-44, 48, and 49 are vague. They are replete with problems. First in claim 40 "with appendages" is not clear. Is there one or more than one? Six? The applicant should define this further. The applicant should further define the term "longitudinal axis". In claims 42-44, 48, and 49 terms such as "appendages is curved at one and straight at the other end" (there is only one free end of the appendage), "said plate appendage is curved at one end perpendicular to the longitudinal plate axis", and "said plate appendage (which still isn't clear as to the definition in light of the use of appendages in claim 40) at one or both ends perpendicular to the longitudinal plate axis is straight or

curved" are not clear nor representative of the applicant's invention. The applicant is urged to check the grammar and employ the use of commas.

7. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

8. Claims 45 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The applicant cannot start adding things to the application after it has been filed such as carbon fiber.

In light of the above informalities, the claims have been examined as could best be understood by the examiner. The examiner's failure to apply prior art to any of the claims should not be construed as an indication of allowable subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 10. Claims 40 and 46 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Senegas.
 Senegas discloses an implant comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/035,281 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

A fixation means comprising an elongated plate 16;

- With curved appendages prior to the curvature;
- Curvature; and
- Fasteners 30.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 41-45, and 47-52 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Senegas in view of Angelucci et al..

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use any of the materials suggested, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended us as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to replace the bands with the screw holes and screws as taught by Angelucci in order to better secure the implant.

Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kim et al. and Cathro et al. disclose relevant art.

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Austin Bonderer whose telephone number is 703.306.5911. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P Shaver can be reached on 703.308.2582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.0873.

dab

PEDRO PHILOGENE PRIMARY EXAMINER